

REMARKS

Claims 1-18 are pending in the application and the same are rejected. By this Amendment, claims 1, 7, 8, and 13 are amended and new claims 19 and 20 are added. Accordingly, claims 1-18 are presented for further review and consideration by the Examiner, along with new claims 19-20.

The Examiner has objected to claim 13 because of informalities. (Examiner's Action, page 2, ¶ 1).

In response, Applicants have amended claim 13 to correct those informalities.

The Examiner has rejected claim 13 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regard as the invention. In particular, the Examiner points out wording having insufficient antecedent basis. (Examiner's Action, page 2, ¶s 2-4).

In response, Applicants have amended claim 13 to remove the wording having insufficient basis.

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-18 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Chen et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,249,281. (Examiner's Action, page 3, ¶ 6).

In response, Applicants have amended independent claims 1, 7, and 13.

Chen discloses a presentation graphical user interface (GUI). A slide of a presentation may be selected from a group of thumbnails and displayed in the primary slide window of the GUI. The GUI may include an information window displaying information related to the presentation. The information window may include a list of topics, which, when selected by a user, will jump to the point in the presentation indicated by the topic. Since the presentation jumps to the selected topic upon selection, the topics are chosen not for displaying with the selected slide, but for displaying in place of the selected slide. Therefore, Chen

does not disclose choosing, for display with the selected page, a sequence of pages adjacent the selected page.

In contrast, Applicant's independent claims 1, 7, and 13, as amended, include wording that a sequence of pages adjacent the selected page is chosen for display with the selected page. Chen does not disclose choosing a sequence of pages for display with the selected page.

Additionally, Chen discloses a thumbnail area where thumbnail representations of each of the slides are displayed. These thumbnails may be ordered in sequential format. However, the thumbnails are not displayed relative to the display of the selected slide according to the location within the presentation of the thumbnails to the selected slide. The thumbnails are, instead, all displayed in the thumbnail area and the display of the selected slide is shown in the primary slide area. There is no relative relationship between the thumbnails and the display of the slide in the primary slide area that is in accordance with the location within the presentation of the thumbnails to the selected slide. Therefore, Chen does not disclose displaying each sequence of scaled pages relative to the display of the selected page according to the location, in the ordered pages, of the chosen sequence of page to the selected page.

In contrast, Applicant's new dependent claims 19 and 20 include wording that each sequence of scaled pages is displayed relative to the display of the selected page according to the location, in the ordered pages, of the chosen sequence of pages relative to the selected page.

In view of Applicant's arguments and amendments with respect to independent claims 1, 7, and 13 being allowable, Applicants respectfully submit that the remaining dependent claims are also allowable because they contain all of the limitations of their respective independent claims and further add structural and functional limitations.

The foregoing amendments and arguments are believed to be a complete response to the most recent Examiner's Action.


No new matter has been added.

It is respectfully submitted that there is no claim, teaching, motivation, or suggestion in any of the cited art, alone or in combination, to produce what Applicants claim.

It is further submitted that the application, as amended, defines patentable subject matter and that the claims are in a condition for allowance. Such allowance at an early date is respectfully requested.

Should any issues remain which would preclude the prompt disposition of this case, it is requested that the Examiner contact the undersigned practitioner by telephone.

Respectfully submitted,
Robert Sesek and
Travis Parry

By 
Mark G. Pannell
Reg. No. 40,761

Date 08/04/2004
(719) 260-7900